Validation of breast volume measurement using 3D surface scanner

Rachael House¹, Andras Lasso¹, Glykeria Martou², John Rudan², Gabor Fichtinger¹

¹Laboratory for Percutaneous Surgery, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

²Department of Surgery, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario Canada

Introduction

- Breast cancer treatment sequence: surgery, radiation therapy, reconstruction surgery
- Radiation therapy causes volume loss [Haykal 2013]
- Reconstruction surgeons must estimate the difference in volume
- Methods to compute breast volume generally require expensive scans or are invasive to the patient
- We propose to compute breast volume from a 3D surface scan

Results

Scanning workflow was demonstrated on a female mannequin

	Breast Volume (mean +/- standard deviation)
Ground Truth $(n = 5)$	164 +/- 4.1 cc
Surface Scan $(n = 4)$	161 +/- 4.7 cc

Objective

- Demonstrate the workflow to capture 3D surface of patient's chest
- Compute breast volume given surface scan as input

Methods

- Female mannequin represented the patient
- Ground truth breast volume was calculated by water displacement
- 3D Slicer (www.slicer.org) module was developed to visualize and manipulate the surfaces (Fig 1)
- Artec Eva 3D scanner was used to scan the patient (Figs 2, 3)
- Breast volume is computed by subtracting the chest wall from the scanned patient surface (Figs 4, 5)





Fig 1. 3D Slicer screenshot of isolated breast and chest wall

Discussion

- A flat cut plane was used to separate the breast from chest, because water displacement was used to compute the ground truth breast volume
- Instead of a flat cut plane, better representation may be obtained from a patient specific CT, which is usually available from radiation therapy planning (Fig. 6)



Fig 6. Cross section of mannequin with model chest wall

- Standard deviation of the computed breast volume can be explained by having manually placed the cut plane in each trial, where small rotation or translation error leads to volume computation error
- To avoid this in the future markers visible to the Artec scanner can be placed on the patient in palpable locations before each scan (Fig. 7)
- These markers can be used to register each scan together and allow for the use of a single patient specific chest wall





http://www.aniwaa.com

Fig 2. The Artec Eva surface scanner

Fig 3. Female mannequin scanned with Artec Eva

Mannequin





Fig 7. Example of marker placement on mannequin which can be used to register multiple scans together (left), alignment of two scans using markers (right)

Conclusion

- Using a 3D surface scanner provides a quick inexpensive way to compute breast volume
- Initial results suggest the software and workflow designed could aid a surgeon in the reconstruction process





Chest Wall

Breast

Reference

Haykal, et al. "An estimation of volume loss after radiation therapy on free flap breast reconstruction." Plastic and reconstructive surgery 131.6 (2013): 937e-939e.









Cancer Care Ontario

Action Cancer Ontario